

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 Long Phi Do,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 David R Rivas, et al.,

13 Respondents.  
14

No. CV-25-01885-PHX-KML (ASB)

**ORDER**


15 On June 25, 2025, the court ordered respondents to produce all information covered  
16 by seven categories petitioner identified. Respondents were to produce that information no  
17 later than July 21, 2025. (Doc. 17.) On July 21, respondents produced petitioner's "entire  
18 A-file" but did not produce documents responsive to the other six categories. (Doc. 19.)  
19 Respondents are given until August 11, 2025, to produce all responsive documents or a  
20 declaration that no such documents exist. If additional responsive documents are not  
21 produced, respondents' declaration must indicate the efforts they took to identify and  
22 obtain responsive documents. Petitioner's reply is due August 18, 2025. In that reply,  
23 petitioner should identify what additional evidence he would present if the court were to  
24 hold an evidentiary hearing and whether a translator would be needed for a hearing. After  
25 reviewing the reply, the court may schedule a hearing that would consolidate the motion  
26 for preliminary injunction with trial on the merits.

27 **IT IS ORDERED** no later than **August 11, 2025**, respondents shall produce all  
28 responsive documents or a declaration that no additional responsive documents exist and

1 the efforts they took to locate responsive documents.

2 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** no later than **August 18, 2025**, petitioner shall file  
3 his reply.

4 Dated this 30th day of July, 2025.

5  
6 

7  
8 **Honorable Krissa M. Lanham**  
9 **United States District Judge**  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28